



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 53 No. 36

15th September 2017

IN THIS ISSUE

| | |
|--|---|
| Letter to The Reverend from Ken Grundy | 1 |
| How to Defeat the Same Sex Marriage Agenda: Multicultural Australia to the Rescue By Mrs Vera West | 1 |
| George Soros: Terrorist? By Charles Taylor | 2 |
| Problems in Vaccination Paradise By Mrs Vera West | 2 |
| A Slippery Slope to Moral Panic: Refuting the Martin Niemöller Argument By Charles Taylor | 3 |

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: English Standard Version Mark 10:1-9

And he left there and went to the region of Judea and beyond the Jordan, and crowds gathered to him again. And again, as was his custom, he taught them. And Pharisees came up and in order to test him asked, “Is it lawful for a man to divorce his wife?” He answered them, **“What did Moses command you?”** They said, “Moses allowed a man to write a certificate of divorce and to send her away.” And Jesus said to them, **“Because of your hardness of heart he wrote you this commandment. But from the beginning of creation, ‘God made them male and female.’ ‘Therefore a man shall leave his father and mother and hold fast to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh.’ So they are no longer two but one flesh. What therefore God has joined together, let not man separate.”**

LETTER TO THE REVEREND from Ken Grundy

to Rev Peter Sandeman, CEO Anglicare

Your article in the *Advertiser* today (*August 29, 2017*), in support of Same Sex Marriage is another disappointing contribution from the sources we expect to be promoting the virtues of traditional marriage which is so definitely described in the Bible.

You must be a product of the very clever and subtle brainwashing that has been evident over the last thirty or more years. Just think back to your parent’s time and try to imagine church leaders advocating SSM being welcomed in the community - even where the clergy would assist with the ceremonies!

Why should the church reverse its policy? Will it soon be acceptable or even good to steal?

You mention our multi-cultural society and how it has resulted in the need for change. If such a policy requires a Christian based society to change its fundamentals; then it is a pity our political and spiritual leaders did not foresee the problem and resist multi-culturalism. We have been so weak in defending our Christian culture that the ‘multicults’ have used the opportunity, wherever there was a chink in our armour, to inject contrary ideas.

There are plenty of writers advocating that Australia is unlikely to be saved from the gathering tsunami which threatens the last vestiges of our western Christian traditions and standards. When it arrives, I expect there will be many surprised people wondering “Where it came from”! They will ask “How did this happen?”

I hope those of us with a Christian faith can work for the miracle so necessary today. ***

HOW TO DEFEAT THE SAME SEX MARRIAGE AGENDA: Multicultural Australia to the Rescue By Mrs Vera West

We all know, as conservatives that a successful “Yes” vote in the coming plebiscite will involve as yet unknown erosions of freedoms, especially religious freedoms: <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/inquirer/yes-side-in-marriage-debate-ignores-the-implications-for-freedoms/news-story/b119dbc7f7cad9f773f7f8ac7eef926d>.

Once same sex marriage is in, a fanatical politically correct Labor will push things to the next level, with a LGBTI taxpayer funded watch dog, like the present system for racial thought control, which will view any debate as hate speech. Laws will be changed to make race hate encompass LGBTI issues. Then, they will move to the next level, and the level after that, and on and on, until traditionalists are crushed under the boot.

It reminds me of the scene from the old Spider-Man 1 movie: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sAfxBXAQCZM>.

How then to defeat it? Here I will outline one idea, which needs to be pursued.

The same sex agenda is largely pushed by Whites. This is not to say that there are no non-White supporters, but in general the evidence indicates that non-White groups, especially Muslims, oppose the agenda. This point has been raised as a matter of concern by the new class,

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

but as it involves the intersection of race, religion and sexuality, it is usually put in the politically correct too hard basket.

Yet, given immigration, multicultural Australia alone could defeat the same sex issue. It simply needs a directed campaign. The Chinese community has already expressed concerns about their children having to be subject to teachings which they wisely, do not approve: <https://theconversation.com/ethnic-religious-communities-may-be-the-no-campaigns-secret-weapon-in-same-sex-marriage-fight>.

Indeed, the “YES” campaign bigotry, for some ethnic supporters of “NO” is even worse than “racism,” which is presumably everywhere in Australia:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/bigotry-from-yes-campaign-is-worse-than-racism/news-story/333d3eb398e130bc7110fb95839c877c>

GEORGE SOROS: TERRORIST? By Charles Taylor

Many have said it, but now it is all happening: the possibility of George Soros being proclaimed a terrorist!

<https://www.nst.com.my/news/nation/2017/09/275964/petition-asking-white-house-declare-george-soros-terrorist-gains-enough>:

“A petition demanding the United States government to declare American financier George Soros a domestic terrorist has gathered over 110,000 signatures, more than sufficient to compel the Trump administration to respond.

The Washington Times reported that the petition, which was posted on the “We the People” section of the White House website on Aug 20, had cracked the required 100,000-signature threshold within 30 days to trigger an official response.

“As of early Saturday afternoon, it has been digitally signed over 110,000 times,” the news report wrote. The petition stated that Soros had wilfully, and on an ongoing basis, attempted to destabilise and commit acts of sedition against the US and its citizens, through allegedly creating and funding organisation

Given the anti-White culture of fear that multiculturalism has created, it will be hard for the White liberal chattering class to counter this. The NO campaign will need to get leaflets and information out, in native languages to all the ethnic groups in Australia. It will not be hard to reach a receptive audience.

When this agenda is defeated, it will slow the elite down on other fronts, such as the Republic and Aboriginal recognition. The Aboriginal recognition debate is very much based on using Anglo guilt, which perhaps has a pathological genetic basis. But, other races and ethnic groups do not have this White guilt complex, and will make decisions on more rational grounds.

Leaders of freedom groups need to get on with this.***

exclusively devoted to facilitating “the collapse of the systems and constitutional government of the US”.

It added that Soros had also developed “unhealthy and undue influence over the entire Democrat Party and a large portion of the US Federal government”.

It then urged US Justice Department (DOJ) to immediately declare Soros and all of his organisations and staff members to be domestic terrorists.”

Ok, so Soros has not yet been declared a terrorist, but could be. I was going to write, “but of course the cucked Trump regime will not have the intestinal fortitude to do this,” but... who knows, as US security organisations have now declared the *Antifa* to be a domestic terrorist organisation:

<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/antifa-domestic-terrorists-us-security-agencies-homeland-security-fbi-a7927881.html>

Guess who funds them? And apparently is not prompt with payments:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6IovWPu2w44>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3q2uM3qPZDs>

PROBLEMS IN VACCINATION PARADISE By Mrs Vera West

Which juicy news item do I start with first? Tossing a coin, or a vaccination syringe. First up, Oxford University scientists trialled a TB vaccine on humans that did not pass an animal test model using monkeys: <http://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/health-and-families/health-news/oxford-university-scientists-trial-tb-vaccine-babies-monkeys-did-not-work-a7928911.html>.

Wait, that should read, hundreds of babies:

“The worrying results from a tuberculosis vaccine trial on monkeys before they tested the treatment on hundreds of babies were ignored by scientists at Oxford University, a former principal research fellow at the institution has claimed.

Professor Peter Beverley said that plans to inoculate almost 1,500 children were drawn up without disclosing data that seemed to show that primates that were given the immunisation in a trial

appeared to “die rather rapidly”.

He told the BBC’s *File on Four* that it “seemed a little bit strange” that most of the primates treated with the vaccine were having to be put down after they became ill.

“Certainly here in this experiment, there is no evidence whatsoever that this is an effective booster vaccine,” he said.

Trials on monkeys saw all of them infected with TB. However, one group was given the widely used *Bacillus Calmette-Guérin* (BCG) jab, the second was given no immunisation and a third was given BCG plus new vaccine.”

Shouldn’t people be a wee bit concerned that the monkeys had died, and isn’t such research therefore unethical? Anyone?

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

“Researchers concluded that the amount of TB bacteria used in the study was too high, which led to the high level of fatalities among monkeys given the vaccine, they said.

Public Health England said that since it was not a pre-clinical trial to support the progression of the vaccine into humans, but instead a separate trial that would inform future animal tests, the results were not [relevant].

An investigation into Professor Beverley’s complaint found no wrongdoing took place.

But, it concluded that it “would have been good practice for the potentially adverse reaction observed in the monkey experiment to be reported to the authorities in a more timely fashion.”

A SLIPPERY SLOPE TO MORAL PANIC:

Refuting the Martin Niemöller Argument By Charles Taylor

Trump, by banning transgenders in the military is apparently on the path to Nazism, since one of the Nazi’s first victims was Magnus Hirschfeld who was a leading advocate for transgender rights: <http://www.haaretz.com/us-news/premium-1.809224>:

“The Nazis knew that most Germans had negative feelings about homosexuals. They realized that their conservative countrymen felt uncomfortable with the liberated sexuality of Weimar’s Berlin, which was, in many ways, the world’s first and foremost gay capital. The Nazis surmised that no one would protest the closing of gay bars and clubs in Berlin, the round up and questioning of suspected homosexuals or the dispatch of thousands to concentration camps. Whether they hated homosexuals on moral or religious grounds or simply felt uncomfortable in their presence or were afraid that speaking up for them would harm them, most Germans kept quiet, because they weren’t homosexual....

U.S. President Donald Trump is probably making similar calculations. Many people detest transgender people or fear them, as evidenced in the ongoing debate about so-called bathroom bills. Others who may not wish them harm and theoretically support giving them full equality might nonetheless feel uncomfortable with the very essence of transsexualism. Or they might rationalize that perhaps Trump has a point. After all, there are army commanders who claim that transgender people in the military are problematic. And there’s the issue of federal funding for their medical needs. And even those who are critical of Trump’s decision to ban transgender people from the military probably won’t go out on a limb to fight it. They aren’t transgender, after all, and may not even know any

Well, it is all ok then, nothing at all to worry about. Let the monkeys worry about their dead! I suppose they were holding funerals for their dead, by the hundreds.

Then, we have the news that low levels of mercury exposure can alter gene expression: <http://www.naturalnews.com/2017-08-24-vaccines-altering-human-genes-low-levels-of-mercury-exposure-found-to-alter-gene-expression-while-causing-extreme-neurological-disorders.html>.

Mercury, even in low concentration, was found to disrupt the metabolism of algae through the alteration of gene expression. Mike Adams raises the point that vaccines containing thimerosal, have ethylmercury, which is dangerous to cell mitochondria:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27161558>

If mercury is dangerous to microalgae, how much more dangerous must it be to humans? Why then is debate about this issue being suppressed, when clearly there is a public interest in such knowledge? ***

transgender people. This is not the kind of do or die clash that one needs to go overboard with.

The limited reaction so far and the fact that tens of thousands haven’t mobilized and taken to the streets already, as they did when Trump first announced the Muslim travel ban, could be the result of August vacations, or a sign of things to come.

There is no need to compare Trump to Hitler to assert that his decision sets a dangerous precedent.

Single-handedly, with no immediate cause and only to satisfy his homophobic base, Trump stripped a group of people of a right they had only recently earned. He didn’t order them to don a pink star, but he marked them as outcasts nonetheless. He’s done so before with Muslims, illegal immigrants and journalists. Now he’s coming for transgender people.”

The problem with the Martin Niemöller argument, is that it is invalid. It is based on a slippery slope argument, a general logical fallacy where it is claimed that taking one step, or adopting one policy leads to yet another step or policy, that after a series of such steps or policies, results in disaster: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slippery_slope

The argument by Martin Niemöller, is unsound, and has numerous paradoxical consequences. It does not follow that Trump’s ban of transgenders in the military will lead to the evils that some anticipate. It is possible to draw meaningful distinctions and limits in such policies. As well, the end result of the Niemöller argument would be that anything goes. What couldn’t be supported by use of this argument? First they came for the cannibals...then the eaters of hamburgers...then they came for me.

Further, the same argument can be applied by critics, and in fact now is: first, they attacked the fascists, then... the Christians...then, finally they attacked me! ***

AI: THE DISSENTING VIEW

By Brian Simpson

In general most of us see AI advancements as a potential threat to jobs, if not humanity, unless an alternative social credit economic/financial system is set up. Then automation will ring in “this age of plenty.”

But, are we right about the advancements in AI? Could there be limits already occurring? I simply do not know enough about this field to form a judgment. There are, though, a minority who think that AI claims have been exasperated – I mean, exaggerated – and that machines will do a lot of replacing, but will fall short of the sci. fi. dystopia scenario: <https://scottlocklin.wordpress.com/2017/09/02/ai-and-the-human-informational-centipede/>:

“A winter is coming; another AI winter. Mostly because sharpers, incompetents and frauds are touting things which are not even vaguely true. This is tragic, as there has been some progress in machine learning and potentially lucrative and innovative companies based on it will never happen. As in the first AI winter, it’s because research is being driven by marketing departments and irresponsible people.

But hey, I’m just some bozo writing in his underpants, don’t listen to me, listen to some experts: <http://www.rogerschank.com/fraudulent-claims-made-by-IBM-about-Watson-and-AI/>”.

Any bozo writing in his underpants must be worth listening to. ***

NATIONAL WEEKEND GIFT INVITATION

The young are looking for answers. How better than a “gift invitation” to the National Weekend. CONSIDER A \$ 50.00 GIFT INVITATION AS SEEDING FOR OUR FUTURE!

AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS

NATIONAL WEEKEND
14 & 15 OCTOBER 2017

Public Schools Club
207 East Terrace,
Adelaide, SA 5000
Ph: (08) 8223 3213

ACCOMMODATION : The following accommodation addresses are within reasonable motoring distance.

Public Schools Club, 207 East Tce, Ph: 8223 3213
Chifley, 226 South Tce. Ph. 8223 4355
Country Comfort, 215 South Tce. Ph. 8223 2800
Rydges, 1 South Tce. Ph. 08 8216 0300

BOOKINGS FOR THE SEMINAR DIRECT TO
Head Office: Ph 08 8387 6574 - M 0415 527 121
heritagebooks@alor.org

THE SOCIALIST PHENOMENON

By Betty Luks

Experiments in Co-Habitation

While some of these characteristics are certainly familiar enough from the example of Communism, others are lesser known. In the earliest days of the Soviet state, various experiments in cohabitation by men and women were permitted on the grounds that the family was a ‘bourgeois’ institution that should be superseded and allowed to die out. For a time at least, childbearing out of wedlock was encouraged on the grounds that children could be better brought-up in state-run institutions while mothers worked. These experiments so disordered a society already reeling under forcible collectivization, the imprisonment and mass-murder of ‘suspect’ classes, and economic collapse; that the Bolsheviks soon abandoned them. Far from being innovative, the origins of these ideas go back at least as far as Plato, and recur frequently throughout the history of utopian Socialism, as Shafarevich abundantly and cogently illustrates with examples drawn from a number of cultures widely separated in time and space.

Shafarevich is especially astute in his observations of various medieval chiliastic groups, of which he considers Communism to be a sort of modern-day offshoot. In them the goal of commonality and equality were typically taken to grotesque extremes, including not only commonality of goods (no private property--not even personal possessions), but frequently commonality of wives as well, i.e., every man could have sexual relations with whichever woman he fancied.

This stress on perfect equality ultimately works itself out in the infliction and, even the willing self-infliction of mass death (certainly the one state in which all human beings are completely alike).... ***



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer**:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)
BSB 105-044
A/c No. 188-040-840

or by cheques directed to:

‘Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)’

or on the **Veritasbooks.com.au** website:

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions>

“On Target” is published by the Australian League of Rights

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574

email: heritagebooks@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm